



IS THIS AN AUTOMATIC REVIEW OR APPEAL OR BOTH Automatic Review

CHECK THE APPELLANT (party who filed the appeal)

<input type="checkbox"/>	Disciplined Party	Charles Zempel	ACBL #	M652401	Disciplined Party	Jane Zempel	ACBL #	L158942
<input type="checkbox"/>	Charging Party	Joseph Jones	ACBL #	5399696	Location of Hearing	Web-Conference using Zoom		
Appellate Body		Appeals and Charges Committee				Date of Hearing	6/28/2022	
Original Disciplinary Body		Online Ethical Oversight Committee				Date of Hearing	5/27/2022	
Original Complainant		Jeff Edelstein	ACBL #	O200338	Effective date of the CDR consulted		August 1, 2021	

DISCIPLINE BEING APPEALED OR REVIEWED

Disciplined Party	Discipline	Beginning Date	Ending Date
Both Parties	Suspension, 30 months	6/25/2022	12/24/2024
Both Parties	Probation, 2 years	12/25/2024	12/24/2026
Both Parties	Forfeit 10% total MPs	N/A	N/A
Both Parties	Member Not in Good Standing	6/25/2022	12/24/2026
Additional comments or description regarding discipline being appealed or reviewed			

BASIS FOR APPEAL (skip this section if this is an Automatic Review)

<input type="checkbox"/>	Decision not supported by the weight of evidence.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Procedures were inconsistent with CDR which affected the substantial rights of Appellant or undermined the confidence in the integrity or fairness of the disciplinary process.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Discipline inappropriate.
<input type="checkbox"/>	One or more person(s) on the hearing panel had bias (objection to such bias was raised at the hearing).

COMMITTEE MEMBERS WHO REVIEWED THE MATTER OR HEARD THE APPEAL

Name	ACBL #	Name	ACBL #
A.J. Stephani, Chairman	Q368694	Jeff Overby	O879926
Dennis Carman	O828450	Laurie Rowe	P508832
Deana Liddy	P205082	Larry Sealy	P541643
		Tim White	M029585

ALSO PRESENT (include web-conference (WC), in person (P), telephonic (TP), etc. and include their capacity as witness, expert, etc.)

Name	ACBL #	Via	Capacity	Name	ACBL#	Via	Capacity
Ryan Connors	Q758913		CoAdv-Charging P				
Jeff Edelstein	O200338	WC	CoAdv-Charging P				

N/A

COMMITTEE FINDINGS OF FACT (based on the evidence, state the committee’s conclusion as to what happened)

In the matter of Charles and Jane Zempel, the Committee upheld the finding of the OEOC Panel that they violated CDR § 301(A)(2): Collusive Cheating: Other. The Committee also upheld the discipline recommended by the OEOC Panel. Accordingly, they will be Suspended for 30 months, followed by a 2-year Probation period, and a forfeiture of 10% of total Masterpoints. They will be Members “Not in Good Standing” during the length of their Probationary period.

DECISION OF APPELLATE COMMITTEE

<input type="checkbox"/>	Remanded for rehearing	Disciplinary Body expected to rehear.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Decision of the original hearing committee is upheld.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decision of the original hearing committee is amended as described below:		
	Discipline	Beginning	Ending
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reprimand	N/A	N/A
<input type="checkbox"/>	Probation		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Suspension		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other	N/A	N/A
	Please describe other decision below:		

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED (CHAIRMAN)

/s/ A.J. Stephani		Date:	7/8/2022
	Electronic Signature (type name above)		