

CDR CHANGES

1/1/06

Definitions:

- Expungement: Changed to make it clear that this applies only after the discipline has been served.
- Administrative Suspension: Added to define a category of disciplines that are not within the jurisdiction of ACBL disciplinary bodies.
- Charging Person: Changed to Charging Party for consistency
- Eligibility Points: Added to define usage in 4.1.8 (e).

1.8 Cosmetic

1.9 Corrected omissions.

2.12 (a) This give a District joint jurisdiction with a unit but permits a district to bring charges based upon activities at, for example, a District Board meeting.

2.13 (b) Ditto above for ACBL.

2.14 Makes referral optional.

2.15 Mostly cosmetic. However, does specify that employment refers to ACBL employment.

2.2.2 (a) See 2.12 (a) above.

2.2.3 (f) Cosmetic.

3.2 This removes those disciplines imposed by Management (administrative) from the jurisdiction of ACBL disciplinary bodies.

3.11 Clarification of when this applies.

3.17 This has already been corrected as a typo.

4.1 Cosmetic

4.1.2 (c) This section needed an identifier ((c) (1) and (2).

4.1.2 (c) (1) Cosmetic

4.1.6 Added to include unit and district programs.

4.1.7 Added to include races and awards.

- 4.1.8 Ditto. Also adds removal of masterpoints and titles for disciplines of less than one year suspension and removes the maximum length of time for removal of masterpoints, which is left to the committee's discretion.
- 4.1.8 (e) Added section to replace masterpoints with eligibility points to ensure that players who have had masterpoints removed cannot play in a lower category.
- 4.1.9 (a) Deleted as unnecessary given the definition of expungement.
- 4.3.1 These suspensions now identified as administrative and outside the jurisdiction of disciplinary bodies.
- 4.3.2 This section expanded to clarify how these suspensions may be appealed or removed.
- 4.4 Clarification.
- 4.5 This clarifies that the effective date of a discipline, which is not specified by the committee, is five days after the written notification has been mailed.
- 4.6 Due to removal of the current Appendix B.
- 5.1 Ditto.
- 5.1.1 Ditto.
- 5.1.2 It seems right to add "fair."
- 5.1.3 This is a significant change in that it requires that a stenographic or tape (or similar recording medium) record be kept.
- 5.1.7 Since the role of the disciplinary body was changed, this is an anachronism and should be deleted. The hearing body hears the evidence presented.
- 5.1.11 All the changes clarify that an advocate may be selected or appointed by the complainant.
- 5.1.12 (a) Second and last bullet added, as these are options.
- 5.2 Should have been added when the ACBL Disciplinary Committee was created.

- 5.2.2 Example deleted.
- 5.2.5 Added to clarify that the complainant's advocate, by appearing, satisfies the complainant's obligation to appear.
- 5.2.8 Added to clarify that, regardless of notifications by the committee, ACBL Management is responsible for formal notice.
- 5.2.9 Should have been added when 5.3.9 was added. In addition clarification was added to give committee guidance regarding player memos.
- 5.2.10 Same as 5.2.8
- 5.2.11 Changed references due to previous changes.
- 5.2.12 New. This requires Management (and the hearing body upon notification) to take action when such an improper discipline is imposed.
- 5.2.13 Added section to give Management the responsibility to send incorrect disciplines imposed back the disciplinary body.
- 5.3.3 Again this requires the complainant or the complainant's representative to appear.
- 5.3.9 Added a section to give committee guidance when reviewing a player memo.
- 5.3.10 For a tournament disciplinary committee, this changes the requirement to inform the disciplined person if the person is present. Irrespective of whether notification took place at the tournament, Management is required to inform in writing.
- 6.1 This clarifies the fact that this suspension is not appealable.
- 6.2.4 This clarifies when a hearing that is normally held at an NABC or Board meeting must commence. It also clarifies, which committees will meet.
- 7.1.2 These changes clarify when the clock starts and when the committee may elect to refuse to hear the appeal.
- 7.1.2 (a) Change made since either side may appeal.
- 7.2.1 Clarification
- 7.2.3 These changes clarify when the clock starts and when the committee may elect to refuse to hear the appeal.

- 7.2.3 (a) Same as for 7.1.2 (a)
- 7.3.2 These changes clarify when the clock starts and when the committee may elect to refuse to hear the appeal.
- 7.3.2 (a) Same as for 7.1.2 (a)
- 7.4 Clarifying that an appellate committee may not accept new evidence.
- 7.6 Clarifies that an appellate body may not conduct a new hearing.
- 7.7 This is a correction that should have been made long ago as each party to an appeal (or review) has been accorded these entitlements in the past.
- 9.3 Narrowed so that the only allegation that may be heard by the Special Committee is that the ACBL Board of Directors has violated ACBL bylaws or regulation.

Appendix A: Revised to incorporate those sections of Appendix B (Bridge Appeals Handbook) that apply to disciplinary proceedings. It was also reorganized and formatted.

Appendix B: Removed from CDR.

Appendix C: Renamed Appendix B.